Appl. No.: 10/797,796 TC/A.U.: 3711 Docket No.: B03-25 Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2008

REMARKS

Claim 28 appears in this application.

The Examiner has acknowledged that claim 28 is directed to allowable subject matter

Claims 1-27 have been cancelled without prejudice to Applicants' right to file one or more continuing applications directed to any subject matter not presently claimed.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Rejection Over Solheim

Claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,653,758 to Solheim. The Applicants have cancelled this claim making this rejection moot.

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) Rejection Over Sanchez

Claims17 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Patent No. 5,249,804 to Sanchez. The Applicants have cancelled these claims making this rejection moot.

Rejection Over Solheim in view of Sanchez

Claims 19 and 21-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Solheim '758 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,249,804 to Sanchez.

The Applicants have cancelled these claims making this rejection moot.

Rejection Over Solheim in view of Sajima

Claims 25 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Solheim '758 in view of U.S. Publication 2002/0019274 to Sajima. *et al.* Sajima discloses the use off octahedral or cube-octahedral dimple patterns.

The Applicants have cancelled these claims making this rejection moot.

Conclusion

Based on the remarks set forth above, Applicants have accepted the allowance of Claim 28. Should the Examiner have any further concerns or believe that a discussion with the Applicants' agent would further the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to call the agent at the number below.

No fee is believed to be due for this submission. However, should any required fees be due, please charge them to Acushnet Company Deposit Account No. 502309.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Michael Burns (Reg. No. 38,400) 508-979-3563

Customer Number: 40990